

**Career Education Program Two-Year Review  
Program Efficacy Report  
Spring 2014**

**Name of Department:** Culinary Arts

**Efficacy Team:** Todd Heibel, David Smith, Sheri Lillard

**Overall Recommendation (include rationale): Continuation**

The Culinary Arts program provides a needed workforce training for our community, in an industry that is the second highest employer in the state and in the country. There is adequate demand for the training provided by Culinary Arts, which offers four certificates and one degree; the Program's Advisory Committee supports its efforts. However, there are budget concerns articulated by the program, such as equipment needs and inadequate funding. These resources are necessary for this program to continue to train students for a high-demand labor market.

**1. Purpose of this Program**

Efficacy Team Analysis and Feedback: Meets

The purpose of the program has not changed in the past two years, which is to prepare students for careers in culinary arts, food services, and other hospitality career fields. This industry is the second largest employer in both California and the United States.

**2. Demand for this Program**

Efficacy Team Analysis and Feedback: Meets

There is adequate demand for this program. The labor market is strong, and completion of the program leads to a variety of jobs. The advisory committee supports the benefit of the Culinary Arts program to the community, and suggests that the program continue to strengthen in order to train students for the workforce.

**3. Quality of this Program**

Efficacy Team Analysis and Feedback: Meets

The program meets the students' needs, and offers 4 certificates and a Culinary Arts degree. It is a diverse program, both in terms of population and of the types of cuisine encountered. All of the faculty have Masters degrees and/or professional experience, and are certified through the American Culinary Federation. Most of the equipment has been purchased with Perkins funds, and there are several items that must be purchased or repaired.

#### **4. External Issues**

Efficacy Team Analysis and Feedback: **Does Not Meet**

The program states that it complies with external issues, but no details or examples are provided.

#### **5. Cost of this Program**

Efficacy Team Analysis and Feedback: **Does Not Meet**

The program states that expenditures exceed income, but dollar amounts are not provided. Retention and success are very good (92% and 87%, respectively). The program generates 35.91 FTES, for 2.2 FTEF, but these were not linked to the cost of the program.

#### **6. Two-Year Plan**

Efficacy Team Analysis and Feedback: **Meets??**

Major resources needed for the program to continue functioning. As of the date of the report (2/19/2014), next year's proposed budget has been cut by 90%, but the dollar amounts are not provided. The storage space is inadequate and there are major pieces of equipment that must be replaced or repaired.